IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

United States of America,)	
	Plaintiff,)	Criminal No.: 4:02-582-2
VS.)	
Kenyetta Coleman,))	ORDER
	Defendant.))	

On January 12, 2005, the defendant filed a motion to eliminate sentencing enhancements and to reduce her sentence. This matter is now before the Court for disposition.

In this motion, the defendant alleges that the enhancements to her sentence violate the principles set forth in <u>Blakely v. Washington</u>, 124 S. Ct. 2531 (2004), and <u>Apprendi v. New Jersey</u>, 530 U.S. 466 (2000). Recently, the United States Supreme Court reaffirmed these principles. <u>See United States v. Booker</u>, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005). The Supreme Court, however, clearly stated that its holding in <u>Booker</u> must be applied "to all cases on direct review." <u>Id.</u> at 25.

Subsequent to the decision in <u>Booker</u>, the Seventh Circuit ruled that <u>Booker</u> does not apply retroactively to criminal cases that became final before its release on January 12, 2005. <u>See McReynolds v. United States</u>, 397 F.3d 479 (7th Cir. 2005). Here, the defendant's case has run its course and her conviction and sentence became final in 2003, well before <u>Booker</u> was issued, and its approach therefore does not apply here. 4:02-cr-00582-CWH Date Filed 05/16/05 Entry Number 54 Page 2 of 2

The Court therefore denies the defendant's motion to eliminate sentencing enhancements and to reduce her sentence.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

C. WESTON HOUCK UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

C. Waston Houch

May 16, 2005 Charleston, South Carolina